R*E*M*A*R*K*S

Applicants herewith submit this Response in a bona fide attempt to advance the prosecution of this case and to answer each and every ground of rejection as set forth by the Examiner. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the amendments to the specification, claims and drawings, and the remarks set forth below.

Drawing:

The Drawing has been amended to reflect that the lowering mechanism is 30 and the arm is 32. It will be appreciated that there is also an elevator 80 having an arm 82. Of course, the elevator is a subset of a lowering mechanism, in general.

The Specification:

A new IDS has been provided with this Response A.

The Claims:

Claims 1 and 7 have been amended to respond to the Examiner's suggestions as to antecedent basis and indefiniteness.

The Examiner's comments with respect to paragraph 9 are not understood. The claim language is neither vague nor indefinite and no added interpretation other than the words listed is necessary for either allowance or understanding.

Conclusion:

Applicants having answered each and every ground of rejection and/or objection as set forth by the Examiner, and having amended the claims, drawing and specification in accordance with the Examiner's suggestions now submit that the case is in condition for allowance and the same is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted

PENINSULA IP GROUP

A Professional Law Corporation

Douglas A. Chaikin, Esquire

PENINSULA IP GROUP

A Professional Law Corporation 26150 Bucks Run

Corral de Tierra, CA 93908

Telephone: (831) 484-2641 (Fax): (831) 886-2488

RESPONSE A

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: HCDI1785 January 11, 2005

IN THE DRAWINGS:

The drawing has been changed to correctly reflect the numeral error. The changes have been marked in red.